	1	LEANDRO H. DURAN (State Bar. No. 121847 LAW OFFICE OF LEANDRO H. DURAN	7)				
	2	95 South Market Street, Suite 300 San Jose, CA 95113 Telephone: (510) 540-1046 Facsimile: (510) 540-1036 Email: leandroh@leandroduran.com					
	3						
	4						
	5	Attorney for Plaintiffs KATTY VALLE, IVANIA RAMIREZ, JANET RAMIREZ and ZENEYDA BALTODA	ANO				
	7						
	8	CYNTHIA L. MELLEMA (State Bar No. 1227 JEFFRY BUTLER (State Bar No. 180936)	98)				
	9	MEGAN L. DUNHAM (State Bar No. 245991) SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LI					
	10	2121 N California Blvd., Suite 800 Walnut Creek, CA 94596					
	11	Telephone: (925) 949-2600 Facsimile: (925) 949-2610					
	12	Email: cmellema@sonnenschein.com jbutler@sonnenschein.com					
LLP ITE 800	13	mdunham@sonnenschein.com					
SENTHA ARD, SU 94596	14	WILLIAM CAVIN (State Den No. 000605)					
IN NATH & ROS DRNIA BOULEV, UT CREEK, CA (925) 949-2600	15	WILLIAM GAVIN (State Bar No. 099695) GAVIN & CUNNINGHAM					
IN NATI DRNIA B UT CRE (925) 92		1530 The Alameda, Suite 210 San Jose, CA 95126					
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP 2121 N. CALIFORNIA BOULEYARD, SUTTE 800 WALNUT CREEK, CA 94596 (925) 949-2600	16 17	Telephone: (408) 294-8500 Facsimile: (408) 294-8596 Email: gavin@gclitigation.com					
SOI 2121	18	Attorneys for Defendant					
	19	ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY					
	20	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
	21	NORTHERN DISTR	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
	22	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION					
	23	KATTY VALLE, IVANIA RAMIREZ,	No. C 08 01533 CRB				
	24	JANET RAMIREZ and ZENEYDA BALTODANO,	JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT				
	25	Plaintiffs,	CONFERENCE STATEMENT				
	26	VS.	Date: June 27, 2008 Time: 8:30 a.m.				
	27	ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY,	Place: Courtroom 8, 19th Floo Before: Hon. Charles R. Breyer				
	28	Defendants.					

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f) and Civil L.R. 16-9(a), the parties submit this Joint Case Management Statement with respect to the Case Management Conference set for June 27, 2008, and request that the Court adopt it as the Case Management Order.

1. **Jurisdiction and Service:**

Plaintiffs Katty Valle, Ivania Ramirez, Janet Ramirez, and Zeneyda ("Plaintiffs") filed this action in San Mateo County Superior Court. Allstate Insurance Company ("Allstate") subsequently removed it to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. sections 1441(a) and 1446. This Court has original jurisdiction over the Superior Court Action under 28 U.S.C. section 1332. Plaintiffs and Allstate are citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.

All parties have been served.

2. **Facts:**

Allstate issued an automobile policy to named insureds Rafael and Katty Valle, which was in effect on or about December 18, 2004 (the "Policy"). On or about December 18, 2004, Plaintiffs were involved in a car accident when they were rear-ended by an underinsured motorist. Following the car accident, Plaintiffs settled with the underinsured motorist and his auto-liability carrier for his policy limits. Subsequently, Plaintiffs made a claim to Allstate under the Policy's underinsured motorist provision. Plaintiffs and Allstate agreed to arbitration under the governing Policy provisions.

Plaintiffs assert Allstate failed to participate in arbitration. Allstate asserts Plaintiffs failed to participate in discovery. This action followed. In their complaint, Plaintiffs seek an order to compel arbitration and assert a cause of action against Allstate for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.

Legal Issues: 3.

One or more party contends the following legal issues are in dispute:

- Whether Allstate breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. a.
- b. Whether there are any facts to support a claim for punitive damages.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4. Motions

- Absent a stipulation from the parties to stay the case, Allstate will file a motion stay the "bad faith" claims pending completion of arbitration; and
 - b. Allstate will file a motion for summary judgment or adjudication of the issues.

5. **Amendment of Pleadings:**

The parties do not anticipate amending the pleadings at this time.

6. **Evidence Preservation:**

The parties have taken appropriate steps to ensure the preservation of evidence.

7. **Disclosures:**

The parties will serve their initial disclosures on or before June 18, 2008.

8. **Discovery:**

The parties plan to conduct discovery regarding all allegations in the complaint. The parties anticipate propounding written discovery and deposing the parties and other relevant witnesses. The parties do not believe any modification to the Federal Rules is necessary at this time.

9. **Related Cases:**

There are no currently pending related cases.

10. Relief:

Plaintiffs' Statement

Plaintiff seeks the appointment of Richard Hodge as the arbitrator to decide the underlying case as was previously stipulated by the parties. Plaintiff further seeks an order that the arbitration be completed within 120 days and that the scope of discovery should be limited as plaintiffs have already given their deposition and attended defense medical examinations and given related reports including provided expedited subpoenas and informal discovery.

Allstate's Statement

Allstate currently seeks no relief.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

11. Settlement and ADR:

The parties agree to participate in early neutral evaluation, and filed an ADR stipulation on June 4, 2008. If the non-arbitration related claims are stayed, the parties request ADR be stayed until after the arbitration.

12. Consent to Magistrate:

Allstate filed its declination to proceed before a magistrate judge on March 25, 2008.

13. Other References:

The underlying dispute is subject to mandatory contractual binding arbitration. All other claims or causes of action should be stayed until after the arbitration.

14. Narrowing of Issues:

The parties believe it is premature to narrow the issues through stipulation at this time. However, as discovery progresses, the parties will re-evaluate this issue.

15. Scheduling:

The parties do not believe this case should be handled on an expedited basis.

Plaintiffs' proposed scheduling:

Plaintiffs propose the following schedule for discovery, motions and trial:

1 1	C	• •
Exchange of Initial Di	isclosures:	June 18, 2008
FRCP 26(a)(2) expert	disclosures:	February 26, 2009
FRCP 26(a)(2) rebutta	al disclosures:	March 12, 2009
Non-expert discovery	cut-off:	March 12, 2009
Expert Discovery cut-	off:	April 9, 2009
Dispositive pre-trial n	notion filing cut-off:	May 14, 2009
Dispositive pre-trial n	notion hearing cut-off:	June 25, 2009
Pretrial conference sta	itements:	July 21, 2009
Pretrial conference:		July 30, 2009

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Allstate's	proposed	scheduling
Allstate s	proposed	Schoduling

If a stay is not granted, Allstate proposes the following schedule for discovery, motions and trial to allow the parties to conduct the arbitration:

Exchange of Initial Disclosures: June 18, 2008

FRCP 26(a)(2) expert disclosures: February 26, 2010

FRCP 26(a)(2) rebuttal disclosures: March 12, 2010

Non-expert discovery cut-off: March 12, 2010

Expert Discovery cut-off: April 9, 2010

Dispositive pre-trial motion filing cut-off: May 14, 2010

Dispositive pre-trial motion hearing cut-off: June 25, 2010

Pretrial conference statements: July 21, 2010

Pretrial conference: July 30, 2010

16. Trial:

Plaintiffs request a trial date of August 30, 2009.

Allstate requests a trial date of August 30, 2010.

17. <u>Disclosure of Non-Party Interested Entities or Persons</u>:

Allstate is not aware of any interested parties required to be disclosed pursuant to

Northern District Local Rule 3-16.

///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23

24 ///

25

26

27

28

	1	Dated: June 18, 2008	Respectfully Submitted,	
	2		LAW OFFICE OF LEANDRO DURAN	
	3			
	4		By/s/ LEANDRO H. DURAN	
	5		LEANDRO H. DURAN	
	6		Attorneys for Plaintiffs KATTY VALLE, IVANIA RAMIREZ, JANET RAMIREZ, and ZENEYDA BALTODANO	
	7			
	8	Dated: June 18, 2008	SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP	
	9			
	10		By <u>/s/JEFFRY BUTLER</u> JEFFRY BUTLER	
	11		JEITKI BUILEK	
SONNESSCHEIN NATH & KOSENTHAL LLP 2121 N. CALIFORNIA BOULEVARD, SUITE 800 WALNUT CREEK, CA 94596 (925) 949-2600	12		Attorneys for Defendant ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY	
ENTHAL RD, SUI 94596	13			
& KOS ULEVA K, CA 9 9-2600	14	Dated: June 18, 2008	GAVIN & CUNNINGHAM	
IN INATH & KO DRNIA BOULEV UT CREEK, CA (925) 949-2600	15			
SCHEIN ALIFOR VALNU	16		By <u>/s/ WILLIAM GAVIN</u> WILLIAM GAVIN	
200NE 121 N. C	17			
2	18		Attorneys for Defendant ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY	
	19			
	20			
	21	[PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER		
	22	The Case Management Statement and Proposed Order is hereby adopted by the Court as		
	23	the Case Management Order for the case and the parties are ordered to comply with this Order.		
	24	In addition, the Court orders:		
	25			
	26			
	27	Dated:		
	28	27297979\V-3	Hon. Charles R. Breyer	
		No. C08-01533 CRB	- 5 - JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT	